

Getting Continuous Testing Done Right with CD-Linter

Carmine Vassallo University of Zurich

DevOps Institute, Continuous Testing SKILup Day, November 19, 2020





Who Am I

My name is **Carmine Vassallo**

Research intern in the Continuous Delivery team at ING Nederland (2015)

PhD Graduate from the University of Zurich (2020), where I am currently a postdoctoral researcher

My research goal is to facilitate the adoption of **DevOps** practices



I'm on the Job Market!



http://tiny.uzh.ch/WV







Continuous Testing is a foundation of Continuous Delivery (Humble et Farley, 2010)





Continuous Delivery (CD)



Release Candidate

stages:

- compilation
- testing

- qa

variables: POSTGRES_USR: user POSTGRES_PWD: password

compile_production_code: stage: compile script: "mvn compile" when: manual allow_failure: false

compile_test_code: stage: compilation script: "mvn test" retry: 3

• • •

.gitlab-ci.yml



Icons from https://vitalitychicago.com/blog/top-reasons-agile-didnt-work-for-us-1-we-couldnt-co-locate-teams/ www.flaticon.com/authors/roundicons, https://www.pinclipart.com/pindetail/hbTb_clipart-info-server-png-transpa

Continuous Delivery (CD)



Commit (often)



Developers struggle configuring build pipelines







- compilation
- testing

qa _

variables: POSTGRES_USR: user **POSTGRES** PWD: password

(Hilton et al., 2017)

Release Candidate . . .

compile_test_code: stage: compilation script: "mvn test" retry: 3

.gitlab-ci.yml







Linters for CD Configurations

SLIC (Rahman et al., 2019)



Security smell: hard-coded secrets.

CI Lint (GitLab)



Syntax is incorrect: chosen stage does not exist.

Hansel (Gallaba et al., 2018)

CD feature is misused: command unrelated to the stage.







Linters for CD Configurations

SLIC (Rahman et al., 2019)

Security smell: hard-coded secrets.

Developers typically lack awareness of CD principle (e.g., Continuous Testing) violations that threaten expected benefits (Vassallo et al., 2019)

CD feature is misused: command unrelated to the stage.





stage: compilation

script: "mvn test"

retry: 3

. . .

.gitlab-ci.yml







CD-Linter: Detecting violations of CD principles



Fake Success

Retry Failure



Carmine Vassallo, Sebastian Proksch, Anna Jancso, Harald C. Gall, Massimiliano Di Penta. Configuration Smells in Continuous Delivery Pipelines: A Linter and A Six-Month Study on GitLab. In ESEC/FSE, 2020.



Manual Execution

Fuzzy Version

Fake Success



Prevent job failures from failing the build







Retry Failure

The build process has to be deterministic











Manual Execution

The pipeline has to be fully automated









Fuzzy Version



Do not specify the exact version of dependencies









Evaluation of CD-Linter

RQ1: Are the CD Smells Detected by CD-Linter Relevant to Developers?

RQ2: How Accurate Is CD-Linter?

RQ3: How Frequent Are the Investigated CD Smells in Practice?







Empirical Study





RQ1: Relevance of CD Smells



6-month monitoring of states, comments, and fixes



64 Developers (Resp. rate: 74%)

145 (86) Issues

I∎"

↓●≣′

RQ2: Accuracy of CD-Linter





2 validators ("k" agreement: 0.76)

868 Config. files

RQ3: Frequency of CD smells

Icons from: https://www.flaticon.com/authors/freepik

Empirical Study





RQ1: Relevance of CD Smells



6-month monitoring of states, comments, and fixes



64 Developers (Resp. rate: 74%)

145 (86) Issues

(●≣′

RQ2: Accuracy of CD-Linter





2 validators ("k" agreement: 0.76)

868 Config. files

RQ3: Frequency of CD smells

Icons from: https://www.flaticon.com/authors/freepik

RQ 1: GitLab issues reporting CD smells



https://gitlab.com/bitseater/meteo/blob/master/.gitlab-ci.yml#L107



GitLab config: Failures in job 'package:snap' cannot fail the build

To fully benefit from the advantages of CI/CD, developers need to follow certain principles. Many of these principles have been introduced in the landmark book Continuous Delivery: Reliable Software Releases through Build, Test, and Deployment Automation and are nowadays widely accepted. One of these principles is:

Every executed job should be able to fail the build. If not, developers can miss or ignore the underlying issue, which adds technical debt and might result in problems later.

Problem: We analyzed your project and found that the file .gitlab-ci.yml (line 107) violates this principle. Failures of job package:snap (in stage package), cannot fail the build:

package:snap:
...
allow_failure: true

Suggested Fix: To follow the principle, you should set allow_failure: false.

Disclaimer: This issue has been automatically reported by CD-Linter, a tool developed at the University of Zurich that detects CI/CD violations in the GitLab CI/CD pipeline configuration. We are currently evaluating the effectiveness of our tool and we are monitoring this issue.

Please up/downvote this issue to indicate whether you agree/disagree with the report.

To upload designs, you'll need to enable LFS. More information

Problem

Fix

https://gitlab.com/bitseater/meteo/-/issues/125



RQ 1: Reactions to issues









RQ 1: Reasons for rejecting issues



- Warned jobs are not essential or not fully implemented yet
- The CD smell is contained in a template

C Retry Failure

 Warned jobs are executed on out-of-control machines



Manual Execution

- Lack of trust in automated issue reporting
- Warned jobs are not fully integrated yet



• Tools should be automatically updated to the latest version

RQ 1: Reasons for rejecting issues



- Warned jobs are not essential or not fully implemented yet
- The CD smell is contained in a template

Retry Failure

 Warned jobs are executed on out-of-control machines



Manual Execution

- Lack of trust in automated issue reporting
- Warned jobs are not fully integrated yet



 Tools should be automatically updated to the latest version



Empirical Study





RQ1: Relevance of CD Smells 0 e 6-month monitoring of states, comments, and fixes Ð **1 64 Developers** (**Resp. rate: 74%**) 145 (86) Issues **RQ2: Accuracy of CD-Linter** 2 validators ("k" agreement: 0.76) 868 Config. files

RQ3: Frequency of CD smells

RQ 2: Accuracy of CD-Linter

Precision: 87%

False positives:

- Jobs (with unconventional names) executed in a release stage (Manual Execution)
- Tool dependencies without versions (Fuzzy Version)



Recall: 94%

False negatives:

- Dependencies specified in a .pip file (Fuzzy Version)
- Jobs with release-related names (Manual Execution)





RQ 3: Frequency of CD smells

• 31% of them are affected by at least one CD smell





Retry Failure

6% of projects

Fake Success





The majority of detected smells (70%) affect projects with long configuration files



Manual Execution





Fuzzy Version



Implications

CD-Linter as a *mentor* when configuring CD pipelines

Linting rules have to be approved by developers

Long and complex CD configurations are often smelly







Getting Continuous Testing Done Right with CD-Linter

Carmine Vassallo

vassallocarmine@gmail.com



CD-Linter: Detecting violations of CD principles









Fake Success

Retry Failure

Manual Execution

Fuzzy Version



