DevSecOps for Government

Is it really different?

Trac Bannon, Senior Principal September 2021



© 2021 THE MITRE CORPORATION. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE. DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED [20-02784 -05].

Who am I?

Tracy L. Bannon

- ✓ Senior Principal with the MITRE Corporation
- ✓ Software Architect and Engineer
- ✓ Focused on problem solving using software



/trās/

What are my tags?







Understanding the differences, unique challenges, and context of public/defense sector DevSecOps will drive tailoring and problem solving needed to serve government



Differences and Similarities

• Government leverages industry tech



- Growing recognition that government can learn from industry methodologies
- Government has typically been focused on oversight
- Tremendous energy to "do DevOps" instead of dealing with real challenges
- Industry and government lack common definition of DevSecOps and exemplars

Similarity: Thirst for Innovation





Difference: Problem Space



- Government manages acquisition and focuses on oversight
- DevOps literature are often greenfield, cloud, and app-focused
- Reality is often brownfield on metal
- Cloud is not always an option
- There is a need for **isolated environments** and data centers
- Some solutions must operate in austere environments (e.g. remote locations or after natural disasters, war)

Difference: Acquisition



- Most government software is contracted and acquired
- Government acquisition smarts have not caught up yet
- Different contracts for different skills
- Varying goals and success criteria
- Changes to existing efforts means contract rework
- New acquisition guidance is being piloted though adoption is difficult



Difference:

Government Workforce



- Staff often focused on oversight not implementation
- Trained and operate in roles that are not as technical as contractor counterparts
- Government suffers from an aging workforce with nearly 20 times as many IT employees over 50 as are under 30^a
- Muscle memory is exceptionally strong



Difference: Hiring & Retention



- There is **difficulty in direct hiring** given wage and benefits offered by industry
- Need to attract all levels especially experienced architects and engineers
- Workforce needs to be retrained and provided with upskilling opportunities
- Limited technical career paths



Similarity: Conway's Law



Difference: Organizational Structure



- Cross functional teams generally do not exist
- TOGAF/DODAF inspired team structures (waterfall, separation of architectures)
- Greenfield development is often assigned to waterfall structured organizations
- Institutionalized "throwing over the wall"
- Unionized IT shops need special consideration and negotiation



Similarity: Centralizing Trend



Difference: Culture



- The **cultural barriers** introduced by traditional hierarchy
- Political appointments change funding
- Less turnover in the work force
- Service-member rotations cause MTTC
- Transformation demands new leaders and workers to **infuse new mindsets**



Similarity: Transformation is difficult^{*}





Difference: Too much DEV / not enough OPS



- New groups are created to "**run DevOps**"
- **Developer-centricity** abounds
- Flawed use of **velocity as success marker**
- Quantity over quality
- Missing emphasis on feedback loop from operations and users
- Structure does not exist yet for Devs to have Ops responsibility



Similarity: Metrics Madness





Similarity: Shifting Focus Towards Value



Difference: Defining Value



- Senior government leaders want to hear about Return on investment (ROI)
- Civilian agencies more likely to have measurements on providing services to citizens
- What about defense...?
- Abstracted away from operations injects issues determining value
- Generally, value-based roadmaps don't exist



Similarity: Cyber vigilance "Adding Sec to Dev<u>Sec</u>Ops"





Difference: Pedigree, ATO, and more



- No room for error
- The **lives of citizens and sovereignty** of the nation are at stake
- Authority to Operate (ATO) can take up to 18 months
- Increasing software footprint means increased cyber attack surface
- Understanding software lineage is paramount
- Open Source cannot be adopted without understanding the impact and **intent of contributions**



Similarity: DevOps Requires Trust





Similarity: Pride and Passion







Tracy L. Bannon <u>TBannon@MITRE.org</u> <u>TracyBannon@gmail.com</u> <u>https://www.linkedin.com/in/tracylbannon</u> @TracyBannon

Disclaimer: The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of The MITRE Corporation and should not be construed as an official government position, policy, or decision, unless designated by other documentation.



References:

^a FEDweek. "New Data Shows Aging Federal Workforce, Especially in IT." *FEDweek*, 21 Aug. 2019, www.fedweek.com/fedweek/new-data-reinforce-concerns-about-aging-of-federal-workforce.

^b"Leading Change: Why Transformation Efforts Fail." *Harvard Business Review*, 13 July 2015, hbr.org/1995/05/leading-change-why-transformation-efforts-fail-2.

^c"What Is Transformation, and Why Is It So Hard to Manage?" *Change Leader's Network*, changeleadersnetwork.com/free-resources/whatis-transformation-and-why-is-it-so-hard-to-manage. Accessed 29 Nov. 2021.

