# Seeing RED: Monitoring and Observability in the Age of Microservices

**Observability SKILup Day** 

**Greg Leffler** 23 September 2021

 $\bigcirc$ 

### Monitoring

#### The times, they are a-changin'



© 2020 SPLUNK INC.

# TL;DR: Monitoring Practices Matter

### **Applications are Changing**

#### Legacy Monolithic Architecture



#### **Monitored Environment**

- Monolith App
- Single/Few hosts, On-Prem
- Single Language

#### **New Microservices Architecture**



#### **Monitored Environment**

- Distributed Services (10s to 100s)
- Elastic environment scalable
- Frequent Code-Pushes (CI/CD)

### Web Apps (Pages) are Also Changing

#### **Multi-Page App**



#### Mostly dependent on the backend

- Pages were rendered on the backend
- Page load were the automatic unit of measurement

#### Single Page App



#### **Front-end dependent**

- · Pages are rendered on the client side
- Multiple requests for additional data using XHR and API calls

#### No really. What is Observability?

**Observability** is the ability to measure the internal states of a system by examining its outputs. It's all about the data.



# Data is the driving factor for Observability



But data is only useful if you can aggregate it, analyze and visualize it and respond to it.

## Monitoring

Simple Name, Complex Problems





### Thought Question: How do you collect your data for monitoring today? Will it work for observability?

### **Challenges in Monitoring Microservices**

#### **Application and Infrastructure Monitoring**

A microservices architecture will have 10s, 100s, 1000s, maybe even 10,000s of individual services:

- How do you know if an individual service is healthy?
- How do you measure the performance of an individual service?
- How do you troubleshoot and debug an individual service?

#### **The Golden Signals**

<u>Google's Golden Signals</u> Latency, Saturation, Errors, Traffic

<u>USE Monitoring</u> Utilization, Saturation, Errors <u>RED Monitoring</u> Rate, Errors, Duration

#### How about **RED**?

- A subset of Google's Golden Signals (SRE-related) H/T to Tom Wilkie
- Made up of rate, errors, duration
- Designed for request-driven systems, microservices

Service	Req/sec	Error Rate	P50 Duration	P90 Duration
> 🔘 api	9.9	51%	96ms	98ms
> ocatalog	0.70	29%	74ms	75ms
> • checkout	9.3	8.5%	74ms	75ms
> 🔵 mangoDB	9.3	8.5%	32ms	50ms
> 😑 payment	7.5	55%	50ms	51ms

## Why RED

- Complexity matters
  - Lots of moving items
  - Lots of interrelations
  - Lots of "Not there now"
- We need simplicity and abstraction to resolve clutter
- We need to retain complexity for "Gotchas" and "A-ha's"



#### Rate

- Rate: number/size of requests on network and system
  - HTTP, SOAP, REST
  - Middleware messaging/queuing
  - API calls
  - Overhead of control structures like service meshes
- Any environment that can fail on peak traffic is a target for rate monitoring



#### Errors

- Errors: problems that cause an incorrect, incomplete or unexpected result
  - Code failures
  - Production load bugs
  - Peak load bugs
  - Communication woes
- Errors need:
  - Rapid Responses
  - Point Specific responses
- Need deep dive, high-fidelity
- Need ASAP



splunk > turn data into doing

## Duration



- It's all about time
- Both client-side and server-sides are important
  But client side maybe more
- Usually (now) the domain of distributed request tracing, RUM and APM
- Bring events into causal order



## Why RED?

- Easy to remember
- Reduces decision fatigue
- Drives standardization and consistency
- Helps with automation
- Serves as a proxy for user happiness



### **A Customer Happiness Proxy**



•

- External (customer's) view is singular
  - Request, and its latency and success
- Operator's view is over a workload
  - Requests latency, rates, and ٠ concurrency
  - System resources/ components ٠

1				
S		SPAN		
		Span ID	5a05e5925fbf86ad	
		Parent ID	551c29ea85a5f6f8	
		OPERATION		
TAGS cont cont cont		/auth		
		TAGS		
		container_id	"davidmcallistercheckout-162837"	
		container_image	"quay.io/image/checkout"	
		container_spec_name	"checkout"	
		environment	"davidmcallister"	
		http.method	"POST"	
		http.status_code	*200*	
		kubernetes_cluster	"davidmcallister"	
		kubernetes_node	"davidmcallisternode1"	
		kubernetes_node_id	"davidmcallisternodel-sto7w34t"	
		kubernetes_pod_name	"davidmcallistercheckout-1"	
		kubernetes_pod_uid	"davidmcallistercheckout-1"	
		namespace	"commerce"	
		span.kind	"server"	
		userld		
~ checkout		http.Request	340ms	
~ authorization		/auth/valid	320ms	
~ authorization		http.Request	280 ms	
Idp		authRequest	240 ms	
api	500	http.Request	2120ms	
checkout		/checkout/{cartId}	2080 ms	
~ checkout		loadCart	1030 ms	
~ mySql		readCartDetails	940.ms	
mySql		CartDetails	940 ms	
~ checkout	500	http.Request	010110	670 ms
payment		/payment/execute		590 ms
payment	402	/payment/execute		VVV III

api



## Thought Question: Do you need to track user happiness? How will you approach this?

### **Monitoring Challenges**

- It's not Infrastructure OR applications It's both
- In complex environments tools should help you out
- If you can't drill-down, don't bother



## **Key Takeaways**

- A common structure for monitoring allows clarity in separate teams
- Understand that you need to tailor focus to your needs
- Find the right tool to give you clarity and insight



© 2020 SPLUNK INC.

# **Thank You!**