Qualitative Data Analysis for **Digital Transformation**

> John Willis @botchagalupe jwillis@redhat.com





Global Transformation Office

Red Hate



Global Transformation Office





Kevin Behr Co-Author 'The Phoenix Project', 'Visible Ops', CTO/CIO



John Willis

Author 'The DevOps Handbook', 'Beyond The Phoenix Project', Serial Entrepreneur (sold to Docker)





Edited by Betsy Beyer, Nial Richard Murphy, David K. Rensin, Kent Kawahara & Stephen Thome





Andrew Clay Shafer Co-Founder Puppet, Pivotal Leadership, Authored foreword for 'SRE Handbook'



<u>Jabe Bloom</u> Co-Founder PraxisFlow, PhD Carnegie Mellon **Transition Design**







@botchagalupe













Four Frameworks for Portfolio Management





DEVOPS ENTERPRISE SUMMIT





Three Transformation Killers

- Frameworks
- Impersonal
- Mental Models



Frameworks

You can't Lean, Agile, SAFE or Devops your way around a bad organizational culture.

Impersonal

Whenever we're talking about any kind of change or improvement you are counting on a bunch of human beings to change and make this happen. If they haven't been part of figuring out how to do it, the change efforts will be dead-on-arrival.



Mental Models

What are Mental Models made of?

Meaning Values Ideas Beliefs Concepts Premises Images Common sense Smells Representations Previous Experiences Symbols Language Assumptions "Mental models are deeply held internal images of how the world works, images that limit us to familiar ways of thinking and acting. Very often, we are not consciously aware of our mental models or the effects they have on our behavior."

- Peter Senge



Approaches



Quantitative

Starts with a generalized theory and uses correlation to draw specific conclusions

Deductive

Draws specific conclusions from general principles or premises.

Impersonal

Non human interaction. Typically done by survey.

Numerical

Analyzed through math and statistical analysis

Closed-ended

Questions that are answered from a limited number of options

Industry Doctrine (Quantitative)

- Lead Time
- Deployment Frequency
- Change Fail Rate
- Time to Restore



- **O** More than six months
- Between one per month and every six months Between once per week and once per month
- Between once per day and once per week
- Between once per hour and once per day
- On demand

How often do you deploy code?



Industry Doctrine (Quantitative)

- Pro's
 - Easier to Administer
 - More Data
 - **Objective**
 - Scientific Method

- Con's
 - o Impersonal
 - Closed-ended
 - Theoretical
 - Context



Qualitative

Moves away from the theory driving the data to an approach where the data drives the theory.

Abductive

Draws general principles from specific instances.

Interpersonal

Human interaction. Typically by interviews.

Categorical

Analyzed by interpreting, summarizing and categorizing **Open-ended**

Questions that require elaboration and aren't single answered.



Industry Doctrine (Qualitative)

- Visibility
- Consistency
- Capacity
- Toil



What is the audit process like in your organization?

Person1: They are terrible because they waste a lot of time.

Person2: They waste around 30 days a year.

Person 3: We don't tell auditors things they don't already know because it will open up a number of new questions.



Industry Doctrine (Quantitative)

- Pro's
 - Empirical
 - Open Ended
 - Combinatorial

- Con's
 - Harder to Administer
 - Less Data
 - Subjective





Qualitative Data Analysis Process

Grounded theory

A systematic methodology i theories through methodica This research methodology contrast to the deductive m

- A systematic methodology involving the construction of
- theories through methodical gathering and analysis of data.
- This research methodology uses inductive reasoning, in
- contrast to the deductive model of the scientific method.



Grounded Theory



Qualitative Data Analysis Process

Approach

- Codes Key observations of the data to be gathered
- Concepts A grouping of similar codes with field notes
- Categories Concepts that make up the basis of a theory
- Theory Collection of categories that make up a theory.



Grounded Theory Example

• Code

Audits typically take about 30 wasted time.

• Concept

- Audits are Inefficient
- Category
 - o Risk

• Theory

Automated Governance

$\circ\,$ Audits typically take about 30 days a year and they consume a lot of



Industry Doctrine (7 Deadly Sins)

- 1. Visible Work
- 2. Management System Toil
- 3. Misaligned Incentives
- 4. Knowledge Alignment
- 5. Organizational Design
- 6. Complex Systems
- 7. Security and Compliance





Assessment

Logistics (Assets)

- 10 to 30 Meetings
- 100 to 300 Attendees
- 1000 to 3000 Minutes
- 20 to 50 Documents

Red Hat





Analysis

Analyze Phase 1 - Artifacts

Home Import Codes Memo	os Variable	es Analysis Mixed Methods Visual T	ools Reports Stats MAXDictio		5 2
New Open Project Project		Retrieved Segments	Save Project As Samwork Merge Projects	External Archive Files Data	
🤕 Co 🍘 💽 😨 🔎 🌣 🖪	□ × ×	Document Browser: Session #1 Notes (70 F	Paragraphs)		P 🗗 🔎
✓ ● Code System	6646		\[\] \[\[\] \[<u>6</u> 6	
Consistency	0 -	4	MAXQD		
🗸 🔍 💽 automate	0	focus of			
e e architects	11	6	Document System	là 🕞 🗲 🕣 🔂 🔎	☆ ⊡
• • • automate	35	decisions	✓ ● ■ Documents		6646 2 6
• • infrastructure	31	faster	Intreviews (Meeting Notes)		1631
 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	27 0	compliance	Session #1 Notes		235 in
e e denvery	15	impact	Session #2 Notes		377 t h
• • • deliver	49	project	Session #3 Notes		368 te
• • pipelines	54	decision	Session #4 Notes		286
• • production	37	banking decision §	Session #5 Notes		365 ^r ,
• • quality	18	legacy o	 Interviews (recordings) 		3758 ^{de}
• • release	11	ability	0 Session5		865
e e sprint	11	fast	Session4		789 A
e testing	13	project	Session3		828 Pro
✓ ● ⊙ outcomes	0	architects	1 Session 2 Session 1'		813
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	12	managers o 1 project	2 Session 1		463 767 ng
Impotant	56	projects	Pro Assessment Notes		/0/ ch
Issue	82	project	 Session #1 Participants 		54 le
iourney	15	agilerequireements	 Session #2 Participants 		114 ^{is} ,
• • outcome	22		4 Session #3 Participants		187 .
• • problems	42	monitoring SIL	Session #4 Participants		¹³⁴ Jia
	40	teams	Session #5 Participants		²⁷⁸ ea
 	0	teams Y LL support	✓ ● CIO Message		46
 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	21	projects [1	5 CIO Vlog (Transcript)		⁴ fro
• • • kubernetes	10	model 9	CIO Vlog (Video)		0
● @ platform	52	enterprise bnz	6 CIO Message		⁴² ta
• O-Itools	48	focus 🚺		of NAB, that's a rough journey and is	s still incomp

Analyze Phase 1



Analyze Phase 1 - Coding

Home Import Codes Memos Var	riables Analysis I	Mixed Methods Visual Tools Reports Stats MAXDictio	~ 🌣 🙂
New Open Document Code Document Project Project System Brow	ment Retrieved		
📔 Document Sy 🖺 📭 🕞 🔁 🔓 🔎) 🔅 🗗 ≖ ×	🗹 Document Browser: Session #1 Notes (70 Paragraphs)	abç 🌣 🗗 🗖
V Documents	6772	Risk 🔽 🛉 🖕 🎋 🕅 🎤 🔌 🖉 🥴 🖗 🚱 🖓	
 Intreviews (Meeting Notes) 	1666 -		
Session #1 Notes	247	• Day 1	
Session #2 Notes	381		
 Session #3 Notes Session #4 Notes 	374	1.1. John self-intro docker, chef etc	↓
 Session #4 Notes Session #5 Notes 	292 372	a. a. Walks through approach	Memo 11
 ✓ ■ Interviews (recordings) 	3834	focus o 4 5	This group was
 Session5 	884	L 5 c. c. Focus on XYZ talking to John instead of John talking	mostly made up
Session4	803	RED 6 2.2. JW : What are the things you believe could be working better?	of data engineers.
Session3	843	faster 5 7 a. a. Kim: we could be braver, make decisions	- 3
Session 2	821	decisions	
Session 1'	483	lifecycle, but it also has an impact on opportunity cost	
🗸 🔍 🖿 Participants	775	cost 🚺 8 i. i. Examples:	
Session #1 Participants	55	compliance LL compliance, a job to print money,	
Session #2 Participants	115	always there, our regulators	
Session #3 Participants	188	project of don't understand, we got project	
Session #4 Participants	137	decision of the procure to pay, we made decision to make with a stand-	
Session #5 Participants	280	alone system 3.5 years, we	
 CIO Message CIO Vlog (Transcript) 	46	reconfirmed 3.5 later	
 CIO Vlog (Video) 	4	banking 9 ii. ii. Core banking	
	Ū	decision _ o	
🔁 Code System 🐔 💽 😋 🔎) 🌣 🗗 🖛 🗙	decision has taken 3.5 years, a	
✓ ● G Code System	6772	Int of legacy system are	
RED	1	fast o hindering our ability fast and	
> Risk	633	ability flexible upfront 10 b. b. What's the iteration cycle P2P - build it once	
> 💿 💽 Design	1852	and deploy it energies makes our project look	
> • • • Consistency	1502	project	





Qualitative Data Analysis





Analysis Phase 2 - Creative Coding

🔁 Code System	6	e <mark>0</mark>	9	\$	Х
🗸 🛛 🧰 Code System					6772
✓ → @ Risk					0
🗸 🔍 💽 business					0
🗕 💽 busine	SS				120
→ 🢽 change	e				93
🕈 💽 change	es				29
🗸 🔍 💽 grc					0
🗕 😋 compli	ance				86
→ 🢽 govern	ance				43
→ 💽 policie	s				15
→ @ policy					20
reglato	ory				10
→ 🢽 risk					61
🗸 🔍 💽 infosec					0
→ 🢽 access	3				28
→ 💽 license)				12
→ 💽 securit	y				90
→ 💽 vulnrat	oility (+)				26



Creative Coding



Report

Theory - Top Three Areas of Concern

Consistency

• Funding

• Toil



Thematic Observations

Trust Lead Time Active Projects Clarity

5. Funding

Economic Impact

Waste:

Possibly >30% (on a 500m budget) \$150M wasted on general processing. **Consistency**: Another 10% to 15% on lost opportunity cost (low or no automation) \$50m to \$75M **Risk**: Negative Risk ROI.







- SRE
- Dojo
- Automation
- Platform Engineering
- Chaos Engineering
- Skills Liquidity

Modern Operations




- Trusted Software Supply Chain
- Automated Governance
- Automated Cloud Governance

DevSecOps





- Five Elements Assessment
- Value Stream Mapping
- Value Chain Mapping
- Three Economies
- Team Topologies
- Safe to Fail

Design Leadership





Areas of Concern (Categories)



Transformation

Transformation Opportunities

- 1. Taxonomy and Models
- 2. Roles and Responsibilities
- 3. Platform Transition
- 4. Metrics
- 5. Automation
- 6. Skills Liquidity
- 7. Safe to Fail

ls bilities

1 - Taxonomy and Models

- DevOps Taxonomy
- DevOps Models
- SRE Taxonomy
- SRE Models



2 - Roles and Responsibilities

- Development
- Product
- Operations
- Architecture
- Leadership



Five Elements





Architecture





3 - Platform Transition

- Project to Product
- Product to Service
- Service to Platform
- Change Management



Platform Transformation





The Three Economies







Platform by Design

Differentiation Economy (Container Experience) Platform as a Service

Kubernetes

Platform

48

Scope Economy (Service Experience) Platform as an Interface







4 - Metrics

- Common Devops Metrics
 - Lead Time
 - Deploys
 - MTTR
 - Change Success
- Advanced Devops Metrics
 - Flow Metrics
 - Change failure rate by team
 - Change failure rate by work type (standard, normal, templated)



5 - Automation

- Infrastructure
- Deployment
- Containers
- Orchestration
- Security
- Test Automation
- Deployment Strategies









Devops Automated Goverance

• Objectives

- Shorten Audit Time
- Increase Audit Efficacy
- Reduce CAB Activity



DevOps Automated Governance

Reduce Audit Time Increase Audit Efficacy Shorten Feedback Loops Local Authority Minimize Handoffs Enable Trust





6 - Skills Liquidity

- Induction
- Mentoring
- Badging
- UpSkilling Teams
- Dojo
- Hackathons
- Internal Devopsdays



7 - Safe to Fail

- Incident Analysis
- Psychological Safety
- Resilience Engineering
- Blameless Postmortems
- Continuous Verification



Thank You!

jwillis@redhat.com

